ResearchGate

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/355312466

Covid Vaccines and the Depopulation Program

Preprint · October 2021

DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.12743.42409

CITATIONS
0

READS
581

1 author:

Kevin Galalae
University of Victoria

13 PUBLICATIONS **6** CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:

Project Sustainability through Depopulation and Decarbonization View project

Project Stop depop View project

All content following this page was uploaded by Kevin Galalae on 15 October 2021.

The user has requested enhancement of the downloaded file.

Covid Vaccines and the Depopulation Program

Kevin Galalae

15 October 2021

The entire world is a theater of war.

This is a war like no other for there is no formal declaration, no stated conflict, and no contending armies. Governments wage a silent and covert war against their own people because those who govern and the grave men directing them have determined that if we are to survive as a species no one on earth can be allowed to die of natural causes anymore but must instead die by government mandate. The weapons of mass destruction they chose to use in this war against our world are manipulation of knowledge, by our medical and scientific leaders; exploitation of trust, by our spiritual and intellectual leaders; and abuse of power, by our political, military and economic leaders. As inconceivable as this may sound, this is the easy part to swallow. The hard part is that this is a sane and sound decision. And the hardest part, which is impossible to digest, and should never be swallowed, is their refusal to tell us about it and their insistence that we must pretend we don't know, lest we do not go gentle into that good night.

Why would they take such a decision? Why is this decision sane and sound? Why do they refuse to tell us about it? How do they intend to cut everyone's life short? And how and why we must prevent such an abominable outcome? These are the questions! And answer them I will.

1. Why would they take such a decision?

For three reasons: first, because the developed world has reached the last stage of the demographic transition / depopulation program and, as such, has inverted population pyramids that are economically unsustainable – thus too many old people and too few young people to bear the burden of the old – and that unless stabilized will inevitably lead to financial collapse and a fall back into poverty.

Second, because the developing world's population is still growing and the planet cannot possibly provide the resources needed to keep the existing 8 billion people alive at a civilized and sustainable standard of living, let alone double this number in thirty years' time, which is how long it takes the global population to double absent brutal population control methods.

And third, because mankind's current size and needs already outstrip the planet's carrying capacity, thus the planet's capacity to regenerate, and unless a state of equilibrium is reestablished between our civilization and nature we pose a global catastrophic risk to the survival of all life on Earth including our own.

2. Why is this decision sane and sound?

For three reasons directly related to the three reasons above: first, having reached the last stage of the demographic transition and its inverted population pyramids, the young have to be saved from the burden of the old, which can only happen if lifespan is limited to at most 75 years and the old-age burden is reduced to below 20%, otherwise by 2060 every working person will have to support two pensioners, and that is economically and socially impossible. Cold logic dictates that the old and the chronically ill are therefore first in line to be prematurely killed.

Second, to reach sustainability the global population must be reduced by about half according to the Overshoot Index and many other rational assessments of resources versus population, as we have already modified 75% of the Earth's ice-free landmass, and that cannot happen sufficiently fast to avoid ecosystem collapse by employing legislative means of population reduction, even if such means were politically feasible. Reducing the global population by half by 2050 or even by 2100, if we could stave off ecological disaster for this long, can only be done by prematurely killing half the global population given the frailty and self-centeredness of human nature. The least intelligent, the weakest and the most ignoble human beings are therefore second in line to be eliminated, as these selection criteria leave mankind with the best human resources to progress as a civilization and to build a better, kinder and more equitable world.

Third, since we already far exceed Earth's carrying capacity and pose a global catastrophic risk to biodiversity and, indeed, to all life on Earth, it would be an act of collective suicide to passively wait for the inevitable end, which makes decisive action, thus committing controlled genocide, to reestablish equilibrium between mankind and nature, an existential imperative and the lesser of two evils.

3. Why do they refuse to tell us about it?

Once again, for three reasons directly related to the three reasons above: first, most people lack the emotional and psychological strength to end their lives at 75 through assisted suicide, even if the legal framework for euthanasia existed, which is the case in only a handful of countries around the world.

Second, hardly anyone, other than perhaps hardcore environmentalists and members of doomsday cults, would agree to sacrifice their own aspirations let alone voluntarily end their own lives to prevent environmental catastrophe or to help our civilization survive by reducing the global population by half, which would require four billion human beings to commit suicide.

Third and last, modern man is no longer capable, emotionally and psychologically, of murdering in cold blood half of his kind, even if that were physically possible, especially at a time in our history when peaceful coexistence and human rights have seen violence decline and are the bedrock of most nations, and when any form of violence is scorned upon and criminally punished, and violence is bred out of children from an early age.

4. **How do they intend to cut everyone's life short?**

You guessed it, by methods directly related to the three reasons above: first, since the vast majority of people lack the emotional and psychological strength to end their lives at 75 by assisted suicide, governments have decided to do it for us by subterfuge and deception under the veil of the plandemic, by either injecting the old and the chronically ill in care homes with Midazolam and Morphine, a fact confirmed by multiple sources across the Western world, abandoning them, denying them critical care, or by deliberately employing lethal treatment protocols and then blame the deaths on Covid, which is why nearly 90% of all so-called Covid deaths are among the elderly.

Second, since few would sacrifice their lives to save the planet or our civilization, governments have decided to do it for us through mandatory or quasi-mandatory emergency approved but only experimental vaccines that are designed to kill, all the while pretending to save us from Covid, thus from the flu. Vaccines are used to terminate life, but only a small percentage of vaccines kill (about 1%), the rest are placebos, that way people get to trust the annual or bi-annual booster shots and the rising death rate can be controlled and blamed on an aging population. The ratio of killer versus harmless shots is dictated by how fast a country wants to reach sustainability and also by the degree to which every country is overpopulated and in overshoot of its resources, which is why only developed nations have high Covid death rates. But who receives a killer versus a harmless shot is entirely left to chance, unless of course you are in the inner circle of the depopulation lobby, a game of Russian roulette that only those who accept being vaccinated (because they are feebleminded, weak or ignoble) are being subjected to, which is why the system wants as many people as possible to be vaccinated. And the lives of those who refuse to be vaccinated are shortened by subjecting them to repeated PCR tests, toxic air from face masks, and constant bombardment with chemicals and neurotoxins to cause brain damage that results in death. Either way, the system is covered by plausible deniability.

When Pope Francis and his genocidal cardinals are asking you to get vaccinated as *"an act of love"* they are actually asking you to put a gun to your head with 99 blanks and one real bullet in it and to pull the trigger hoping you beat the odds. Pope Francis calls this *"social and political love",* and it would be indeed if you were told that in so doing you subject yourself to a one in a hundred chance of getting killed so nature and civilization can survive.

Third and last, since no one can carry out global genocide, emotionally or physically, people are being manipulated to genocide themselves. There is no henchman or Thanos lurking in the shadows who could murder four billion people, half of mankind, so the architects of the depopulation program have devised a system whereby *immoral men and women* (politicians) are given positions of power and the authority to impose vaccination and PCR testing on the dumb, weak and indecent from the general population; *weak men and women* (medical staff) are taught to inject or PCR test to death half of mankind for a wage all the while being told they are heroes; *cheap men and women* (media people) are paid to repeat the same idiocies ad infinitum written by *corrupted men and women* (scientists and officials) so the general population can be bamboozled to get vaccinated or PCR tested until enough of them die, all the while being told it is to protect them and those around them; and *yes men and women* (police and military) are ordered to enforce unconstitutional, immoral and criminal orders to ensure

the population obeys. Then the groups complicit are themselves forced to get vaccinated by public health mandate and public pressure exerted from behind the scenes by the *grave men* (popes, cardinals, generals, industrialists), who know no better and are capable of no better, so there is an even playing field and they too are subjected to the same by-annual Russian roulette gamble and eventually meet the same end as those they cooperate in killing. And so a system is being created whereby the people kill each other, all the while being convinced they are doing the right thing.

The only aspect of this program I am still uncertain about because I don't have access to reliable data is whether the depopulationists intend to kill half of mankind gradually over the next 30 years or suddenly in just two or three years. So far, the evidence suggests they are proceeding gradually, but that is not to say they will not speed up their plan. It could very well be that with every booster the ratio of killer to placebo vaccines will grow from 1 to 100 (which appears to be the current ratio when one considers the number of serious adverse reactions on the VAERS and EudraVigilance databases versus the vaccinated populations of the U.S. and the E.U.) to whatever they can get away with.

5. How and why we must prevent such an abominable outcome?

The three measures we would have to adopt knowingly derive directly from the three strategies above: first, instead of being killed by our own governments to prevent economic collapse we must willingly accept to end our own lives at age 75, with no exceptions whatsoever, until the population structure of the developed world stabilizes at a sustainable level, which would take about two generations, thus at most 60 years. In this fashion we will live and die with dignity; we will pose no unbearable burden on our children; we will spend our last days among loved ones and depart from this world from a beautiful place of our own choosing and not with tubes sticking out of every orifice in a sterile hospital bed; we will enable the governing structure to return to the rule of law and true respect for human rights; we will set our affairs in order to prevent squabbles and conflicts among our descendants; we will live healthy lives because we will eat unpoisoned food and drink unpoisoned beverages and use unpoisoned body care products; we will return medicine and public health to the service of mankind from their current status of handmaidens of genocide; and a hundred other equally good reasons.

If Pope Francis and his cardinals were truly moral men, they would lead by example, but instead they reserve for themselves the right to live and die of natural causes at a ripe age (most of them are well over 80 years old) while imposing a 75-year lifespan on the rest of us. So far, they have sacrificed just two clerics from the middle ranks, that is how far their love for mankind goes.

Second, instead of playing Russian roulette with our lives every six months at vaccine booster time so the global population is halved and thus brought to sustainability, we must reduce the population by adhering to a strict one-child policy in all countries that are in overshoot until they reach sustainable population levels, and to a strict two children policy in all countries that already have sustainable populations. In this fashion we avoid genocide and a dystopian society and reduce the global population to about four billion by the end of this century. I admit that this methodology, though humane, equitable and legal cannot also help improve our

species by weeding out the dumb, weak and ignoble, which the current methodology does rather well by creating a level playing field for self-selection according to these three criteria. Improving our species, however, can be done through genetics and epigenetics, as well as through education, meditation, sport, ethics and a just socio-economic system. Mass murder cannot be a foundation for a better society let alone for a more advanced civilization, it can only be a foundation for hell on earth and I assume that no one wants that.

Third and last, instead of accepting a society designed to genocide ourselves we make that leap forward in consciousness and education and assume the responsibilities that every planetary civilization must assume if it is not to destroy itself. And we adhere to these planetary responsibilities until every country achieves an optimal population level, thus a population level that its own resources can sustain forever, at a standard of living of its own choosing, without damaging the environment or endangering the survival of future generations. As such, we must create a Planetary Wellbeing Authority and endow it with sole and global enforcement powers to impose a Population Stabilization Law with three components: limited lifespan to 75 years until the population structure is stable, limited fertility to one child only until every country is no longer in overshoot after which two children only until the end of time or until we become an interplanetary species, and optimal population levels determined by the standard of living every country chooses to have. That is how we shepherd ourselves to a better future all the while remaining masters of our faith and captains of our soul. We must and can accomplish this by the end of the century.

What is certain is that neither our current leaders nor our current institutional structures can provide us with the leadership we need to survive the transition to sustainability with our humanity intact. All they have given us is a monster's abyss form which we shall never make it out, let alone evolve from animals to angels. Their idea of love is to see half of us dead in Pfizer's fields. I say God gave us reason for a reason, to use it…so we can live in His image.

Let us use it! Let us live in His image! Let us keep this planet and ourselves as beautiful as He has made us!

And let us make our civilization and adornment to His creation!

View publication stats